

Faculty Senate Motion Tracking Form Academic Year 2003

Date Motioned	Description of Motion	Senate Status	Presidential Status
23-Aug-2002	Approval of the 5/17/02 meeting minutes (Bale/Baker)	Unanimous Approval	N/A
27-Sep-2002	Approval of the 8/23/02 meeting minutes (Bale/Bouweraerts)	Unanimous Approval	N/A
27-Sep-2002	<p>MOTION: to accept the following approved motions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Revisions to AAS and Cert of Achievement in Admin Assistant – J. New PASSED (one abstention) with a change to the Quantitative Reasoning Requirement to read “ Math 126 or Higher Transferable Course” and with the stipulation that the Division work/communicate with A&R on issues involving “B” Designators • Revisions to AAS and Cert of Achievement in Networking – J. New The Certificate of Achievement PASSED with the same stipulation as in item 5b. There was major discussion led by member Lars Jensen about the possibility of allowing the degree to contain two required courses (CIT 172 and CIT 174) that may be substantially the same in content. After much debate the Committee voted to TABLE the item until the October meeting so more information and the developer of the degree could be brought to the Committee. • Revisions to AAS in Computer Programming – J. New -- PASSED with the same stipulation as in item 5b. • Revisions to AAS in Webmaster – J. New New -- PASSED with the same stipulation as in item 5b. <p>DISCUSSION: None. VOTE: Unanimous approval</p>	Unanimous Approval	Approved by Dr. Ringle 10/7/02
27-Sep-2002	<p>MOTION: to accept – Revisions to AAS in Nursing – E. Hasley – PASSED (Removal of MATH 100B as Dsatisfying the Quantitative Reasoning Requirement)</p> <p>DISCUSSION: Was there a demographic study taken to see how many students passed the 100B. This may add another year onto these programs onto these students. We have a critical shortage of nurses and how can this address the issue the BOR mandated. The information presented by Emily Jo, director of nursing, they can only handle so many students. There will still be several hundred</p> <p>VOTE: one opposed. Motion carries</p>	One Opposed: MOTION CARRIES	Approved by Dr. Ringle 10/7/02
27-Sep-2002	<p>MOTION: To accept the following courses: ART 264: Survey of American Art – New : PASSED ART 297: Field Study – New: PASSED</p>	Unanimous Approval	Approved by Dr. Ringle 10/7/02

	<p>HUM 260: American Indian Literature and Culture – New: PASSED HUM 295: Special Topics in Humanities – New: PASSED CIT 151: Beginning Web Development – Change: PASSED CIT 152: Web Script Language Programming – New: PASSED CIT 171: Introduction to the UNIX Operating System – New: PASSED CIT 172: UNIX Systems Administration – New: PASSED CIT 173: Linux Installation and Configuration – New: PASSED CIT 174: Linux System Administration – New: PASSED CIT 201: Word Certification Preparation – Change: PASSED CIT 202: Excel Certification Preparation – Change: PASSED CIT 203: Access Certification Preparation – Change: PASSED CIT 204: PowerPoint Certification Preparation – Change: PASSED CIT 230: Advanced JAVA – Change: PASSED CIT 257: Web Languages – Change: PASSED BUS 117B: Business and Computer Technologies – Change: PASSED ADT 172B: Turfgrass Management – Change: PASSED ADT 173B: Turfgrass Management II – New: PASSED ADT 272B: Turfgrass Management III – New: PASSED</p> <p>DISCUSSION: None VOTE: Unanimous approval</p>		
27-Sep-2002	<p>MOTION: To urge the president on each VP Search committee to appoint one additional tenured instructional faculty. (Scheblbe/Delany) DISCUSSION: Was there a limit? When this committee was created by President Ringle, he expressed that the VPAA search committee had many people from the academic side. Overall: Dr. Chang was appointed and two more were asked to be nominated. The membership of the VPFA search committee has not been confirmed. We as faculty, with the list being published have a right to express our concerns. Swelling the numbers just makes it take longer. We are going to go through the same process that the presidential search was taken through. It is not really necessary. Why do we need an additional faculty member? On behalf of the manner addressed by the constituent: there is a perception that selections were based on sex equity and not on merit. And that having non-tenured faculty was not an accurate representation. Do you have any idea on what your constituents based the opinion? The criteria was not only based on gender. Gender was one of the criteria that were considered. We wanted a fair representation. Were cultural and racial issues taken into consideration as well? A few criteria were considered: such as fair representation of the committee for all departments. The number one criteria looked at was their qualification to have this job completed. What standards did they have to meet? One addressed was the qualification. What was the qualification? Experience and expertise. We looked at representation across division, new faculty, indication for the less senior faculty from the president, experience: overall composition. It was clear there was a lack of junior representation for the matters of college business in recent years. When we looked at new and older faculty, it was not based on age. When the president asked about this, he asked for two faculty members. It was stated that the same people were not to represent in all college search committees. It was promised, at the last meeting by the Faculty Senate Chair, that one tenured track member was to be elected. There was a unanimous recommendation by the executive committee from the people nominated. The best choice was made by</p>	MOTION FAILS	N/A

	<p>the selection. On any screening committee, there has to be trust to the body that was chosen for the selection. Having a non tenured faculty, tenure is held as a manipulative tactic to get what you want done – basically they can be “bought”. This is not an issue. Dr. Ringle could have appointed anyone he so chose. Dr. Chang was asked to make the selection. At some point, nitpicking has to stop and things have to move on.</p> <p><u>VOTE: In favor 4 Opposed: remainder of Senate: MOTION FAILS</u></p>		
01-Nov-2002	TO accept revision to the AAS degree in networking.	Unanimous Approval	Approved by Dr.Ringle 11/19/02
01-Nov-2002	To accept the revisions to the AAS degree in Golf Course Management	Unanimous Approval	Approved by Dr. Ringle 11/19/02
01-Nov-2002	TO accept the revisions to the AAS degree in General Business Studies	Unanimous Approval	Approved by Dr. Ringle 11/19/02
01-Nov-2002	To accept option two of the summer school dates for 2004/2005	Unanimous Approval	Approved by Dr. Ringle 11/19/02
01-Nov-2002	To accept the following classes: HIST 294: African American History II, VETT 110B: Clinical Anatomy, VETT 225B: Pharmacology and Toxicology	Unanimous Approval	Approved by Dr. Ringle 11/19/02
01-Nov-2002	<p>To accept the following Faculty Senate Bylaw Change:</p> <p>The chair of each standing committee shall be elected, by a majority of the members of that committee, for a two-year term, from among the committee members and confirmed by the Senate Chair, subject to Senate confirmation. Elections shall be held during the last meeting of the fall semester of the current Chair’s second year. If the Committee does not elect a chair, then the Senate chair will appoint a chair.</p>	Unanimous Approval	Approved by Dr. Ringle 11/19/02
01-Nov-2002	<p>MOTION: To send forward supplementary document two of three to the president as endorsed by the Senate. (Scheible/Shافر)</p> <p>DICSUSSION: Can we have more clarification (asked by Senator Ralph Shafer)? Addressed by Bridgett Boulton: The reason the bylaws state the agenda a motion items are to be sent out one week prior to the meeting is to avoid “reading” the documents at the meeting.</p> <p>VOTE: In Favor: 17 – Motion Carries</p> <p>RE: Workload</p>	Motion Carries	See Comments
President’s Comments	Documents Taken into consideration – Workload established		
01-Nov-2002	<p>MOTION: To send forward supplementary document three of three (Steve Streeper’s proposal) to the president as endorsed by Faculty Senate. (Scheible/Shافر)</p> <p>VOTE: In Favor: 1 – Motion Denied</p> <p>RE: Workload</p>	Motion Denied	See Comments
President’s Comments:	Sent to President and returned with reply: “Thank you for your hard work and assistance in developing a workload policy for TMCC. As you know, while I have accepted most of your		

	recommendations I cannot approve the entire policy you submitted. I have attached an amended and approved workload policy". For detailed work policy, see meeting minutes 03/38/03		
01-Nov-2002	MOTION: To approve the attached Faculty Senate Bylaws.	Unanimous Approval	See comments
President's comments:	Approved with a CHANGE: 6.16 The Senate Executive Board members, including Faculty Senate Chair; Chair-Elect; Chair of Academic Standards; Chair of Curriculum; Chair of Salary, Benefits, and Monetary Concerns; and Chair of Professional Standards, <u>may</u> Receive reduced load for the Faculty Senate duties.		
06-Dec-2002	MOTION: To approve 11/01/02 meeting minutes (Andy Delaney/Jim Cotter)	Unanimous Approval	N/A
06-Dec-2002	MOTION: To accept the charges as placed on the informational document. (Scheible/Delaney) "It is requested that the name of this committee be changed to the Social Activities and Professional Recognition. CHARGES: To organize social activities for faculty and staff for the purpose of raising morale, and creating circumstances whereby faculty and staff can mix and interact together. To recognize faculty achievements both within and without the confines of the campus environment, i.e., longevity with the college, extra-curricular activities in both their professional fields and in the community in general. This recognition is done in coordination with the Human Resources at the end of each academic year."	Unanimous Approval	Approved by Dr. Ringle 01/24/03
06-Dec-2002	MOTION: To accept the Associate of Arts in Art History.	Unanimous Approval	Approved 2/4/03 by Dr. Ringle
06-Dec-2002	MOTION: MOTION: To accept the courses as presented by the Curriculum Committee. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • VETT 105B: Veterinary Medical Terminology – New PASSED • VETT 205B: Veterinary Diagnostic Imaging – New PASSED • VETT 240B: Large Animal Procedures – New PASSED • HIST 291: Introduction to Women's History and Literature in the United States – <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Change TABLED, NODEPARTMENT REPRESENTATIVE TO PRESENT • ENG 281: Introduction to Language – New PASSED • CIT 131: C Programming – Change PASSED • CIT 215: MCSE Elective – Change PASSED • SENR 103B: Personal Computing for Seniors III – New PASSED • SENR 104: Personal Computing for Seniors IV – New PASSED • SENR 110B: File and Disk Management for Seniors – New PASSED • PHIL 296: Philosophy of India – New PASSED • ART 263: Survey of African, Oceanic, and Native American Art – New PASSED • ART 265: Introduction to Contemporary Art – Change PASSED • DISCUSSION: None 	Unanimous Approval	Approved 2/4/03 by Dr. Ringle
24-Jan-2003	MOTION: Motion to approve 12/06/02 meeting minutes (Bale/Adams)	Unanimous Approval	N/A

24-Jan-2003	MOTION: To accept all changes to the Faculty Senate Bylaws as proposed in the informational document. (See 12/06/02 meeting minutes Attachment A)	Unanimous Approval	Approved 2/5/03 by Dr. Ringle
28-Feb-2003	MOTION: To approve the 01/2/03 meeting minutes. (Bale/Jimenez)	Unanimous Approval	N/A
28-Feb-2003	MOTION: To accept the following programs: Technical support Certificate of Achievement Legal Office Certificate of Achievement Vet Tech Certificate of Achievement	Unanimous Approval	Approved by Dr. Ringle 4/1/03
28-Feb-2003	MOTION: To approve the below listed courses. HUM 105: Art for Film Change - PASSED MUS 105: Vocal Techniques New - PASSED MUS 112: College Singers Change - PASSED MUS 117: Concert Band Change - PASSED MUS 119: Orchestra Change - PASSED MUS 175: Jazz Ensemble Change - PASSED ART 117: Survey Art of Western Civilization II Change - PASSED ART 141: Introduction to Digital Photography New - PASSED ART 142: Introduction to Digital Photography II New - PASSED ART 236: Photography III New - PASSED VETT 211B: Animal Nutrition New - PASSED VETT 235B: Anesthesia, Surgical Nursing & Dental Procedures --PASSED AUTO 101B: General Auto Change - PASSED CIT 209: User Support Skills and Technologies New - PASSED CSCO 280: Advanced Routing New - PASSED CSCO 281: Remote Access New – PASSED	Unanimous Approval	Approved by Dr. Ringle 4/1/03
28-Feb-2003	MOTION: To accept the 21 courses listed below. HUM 225: From Santiago to Santa Fe: A Perspective Change - APPROVED SPAN 225: From Santiago to Santa Fe: A Perspective Change - APPROVED GRC 107: Design Fundamentals - APPROVED GRC 110B: Rendering & Illustration - APPROVED GRC 144B: Electronic Layout & Typography - APPROVED GRC 151B: Electronic Image Capture & Production - APPROVED GRC 153B: Electronic Prepress/Imaging Technologies I - APPROVED GRC 156: Computer Illustration - APPROVED GRC 175: Web Design & Publishing I - APPROVED GRC 179: Multimedia Design & Production - APPROVED GRC 183: Electronic Imaging - APPROVED GRC 185: Computer Animation I - APPROVED GRC 188: Web Animation & Interactivity - APPROVED GRC 221B: Visual Communications Studio I - APPROVED GRC 222B: Visual Communications Studio II - APPROVED VETT 128B New - APPROVED VETT 203B New - APPROVED VETT 208B New - APPROVED	Unanimous Approval	Approved by Dr. Ringle 4/1/03 with the stipulated comment “...VETT 208B and 266B are not yet approved, pending revisions.”

	VETT 209B New – APPROVED VETT 266B New - APPROVED VETT 267B New – APPROVED		
28-Mar-03	MOTION: To approve the 2/28/03 Faculty Senate meeting minutes.	Unanimous Approval	N/A
28-Mar-03	MOTION: The Truckee Meadows Community College Faculty Senate urges the Board of Regents to reject the proposed amendment to the Code inserting a policy against sexual harassment.	Two Abstentions Motion CARRIES	No Presidential approval necessary.
28-Mar-03	MOTION: To accept the proposed changes to the following programs: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Criminal Justice Degrees and Certificate of Achievement – A. Mentzer –PASSED with one no vote and one abstaining (there was concern that several of the courses in the AA Programs would be confusing to students and that there was not enough rigor in these courses to support the course title) • Theater Program – C. Wray & P. Aberasturi – PASSED • Art History Degree – C. Naumer –PASSED 	Unanimous Approval	Approved by Dr. Ringle 4/17/03
28-Mar-03	MOTION: Any Changes in the Code of Conduct come back through the Faculty Senat e.	Unanimous Approval	Approved by Dr. Ringle 4/17/03
28-Mar-03	MOTION: To accept the approved fifteen courses listed: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • BIOL 223: Human Anatomy & Physiology I Change - Passed • BIOL 224: Human Anatomy & Physiology II Change - Passed • CIT 257B: Web Languages Change - Passed • COT 207B: Business Applications on the Internet Change - Passed • COT 217: Office Publications Change - Passed • COT 266: Intermediate Database Concepts Change - Passed • MKT 299: Marketing Yourself New - Passed • JOUR 201: Media Writing Change - Passed • NURS 204B: Palliative Care Nursing New - Passed • CRJ 125: Legal Careers & Law Schools New - Passed • CRJ 126: Legal Research & Methods New - Passed • CRJ 127: Legal Writing New - Passed • CRJ 163: Investigative Photography II New – Passed • CRJ 289: Law and Justice New - Passed CRJ 298: Special Topics in CRJ New – Passed	Unanimous Approval	Approved by Dr. Ringle 4/17/03
28-Mar-03	MOTION: To approve the “Student Appraisal of Instruction” reflecting the removal of the phrase “To protect your anonymity”. Removal of the comma, and capitalizing the “E” in evaluation.	One Abstention – Motion CARRIES	Approved by Dr. Ringle 4/17/03
16-May-03	MOTION: To send a letter to Tom Ray stating we disagree with the statement “...no board rule or regulation has established Faculty Senate to provide advice on higher education matters” We believe we are in fact established to provide advice on these matters. (Stubbs/Scheible) Discussion: We give advice to the President on these matters.	Motion carries with one opposition	N/A

16-May-03	<p>To accept the following classes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GRC 181B: Digital Video I New - GRC 275B: Web Design & Publishing II Change - LEAP 101B: Learning Experience Assessment Program Change - VETT 101B: Introduction to Animal Health Technology - VETT 125B: Veterinary Office Procedure - New - VETT 129B: Small Animal Nursing - New - VETT 227B: Advanced Animal Nursing -New - VETT 235B: Anesthesia, Surgical Nursing, and Dental Procedures - Change VETT 250B: Small Animal Critical Care - New 	Unanimous Approval	Sent to Dr. Ringle 5/03
16-May-03	<p>To accept the following classes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - CONS 102B: Residential Plumbing I & II -New PASSED - COS 221B: Cosmetology I - New PASSED - COS 222B: Cosmetology II - New PASSED - COS 223B: Cosmetology III - New PASSED - COS 224B: Cosmetology IV - New PASSED - COS 232B: Salon Management - Cosmetology - New PASSED - SENR 106B: Internet II for Seniors - New PASSED - SENR 110B: Microsoft Word for Seniors - New PASSED - SENR 112B: Management PC Files & Folders for Seniors – New/Passed 	Unanimous Approval	Sent to Dr. Ringle 5/03
16-May-03	<p>To accept the proposal of the sabbatical form. (See meeting 05/16/03 Minutes)</p>	Unanimous approval	See Comments
President's Comments	<p>Before I can approve the proposal on sabbaticals there are several issues that need clarification and/or consideration fro revision. Those issues follow:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Pg. 9 General Policies #1 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ I accept the premise which I believe is that “The pursuit of degrees and /or certificates alone will not be approved as a sabbatical project. Courses, workshops, seminars, etc. that are critical to the completion of a sabbatical project are acceptable”. If the above is the intent, I do not believe the current wording reflects that accurately. ▪ Pg. 10 Obligations of Faculty who take Sabbatical Leave #1 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Authorization for an alternative project should be approved by the faculty member’s department chair, dean and vice president as well as the immediate supervisor. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ #6—Typo—“an” should be “a” in last line. ▪ Pg. 10 Application Procedures #3 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ The appropriate dean should also be notified of a faculty member’s intention to apply for sabbatical leave. ▪ Pg. 11 Sabbatical Leave Subcommittee Deliberations and Recommendations #3—4—5- <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Do we really provide confidentiality if the results go from the subcommittees to the committee, faculty senate chair and president. Should the recommendations go directly to the president? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ #6 – The responsibility for awarding of sabbaticals rests in the office of the president. The current working takes away that responsibility. There should be some mechanism/process in place to address a situation where the president does not accept the committee’s recommendation. ▪ Pg. 12 Accomplishments of the applicant “B” 		

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> o I fully concur that the items listed in “B” should be rewarded highly. However, as the entire section only carries 10 points, I am not sure “high rewards” are possible. Should this section carry more weight or should item “B” be considered on its own. ▪ Pg. 13 Years of Service at TMCC since last sabbatical leave “D” <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o The way this is currently worded is awarded points only to those who have had a sabbatical. I assume the intent was to award points for years of service since sabbatical for those who have had a sabbatical. ▪ Pg. 14 Guidelines <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o General Question – Would it be advisable to limit the number of pages in the proposal? o “F” should the “the” be substituted for “your” in the first line? o “E” It seems to me that the merit of the current proposal is what should be evaluated. Performance on a separate project that occurred at least seven years before the current proposal does not seem relevant or fair. o “F” Last Bullet – same as “E” above. ▪ Pg. 15 I'd like to see a line for the faculty member's signature. 		
16-May-03	<p>To accept the tenure check-off list</p> <p>DISCUSSION: Urge a “NO” vote... it is a good idea, but it is not right. IN summary, we already have three documents and this represents a fourth. We need something that takes the existing docs and makes a one to one. Perhaps what can come forwards is a statement under the headings. Committee needs to readdress.</p> <p>One thing we can't change is the system forms.</p> <p>This does not come directly from the application form. – says nothing of the syllabus. (We already have the application, Code, and annual plan. This document overlaps somewhat but not directly.</p> <p>These four sets of docs, aren't they all based on the Code? Items 6-15 are practically as close as you can get. It would be nice if they were all in line, but I don't know that they can.</p> <p>We may not be able to synchronize the form, but the committee needs to rethink the check-off list and use the application form as the headings --- skip degrees and dates, but do all others.</p> <p>This was addressed at the IDEans meeting, an alternative may be to take this back to the IDEans to work with them more closely and then bring it back to Faculty Senate once there is an agreed upon convergence.</p> <p>This is a guide.</p> <p>I don't know that we simply have to accept that we can't change system forms. This is the whole thrust of section four... to obtain tenure you must receive an excellent rating in teaching and satisfactory in everything else.</p> <p>The committee made a motion asking the chair to go to the VP and express what was stated.</p>	<p>in favor: 3 opposed 3 abstentions 0</p> <p>Motion Denied.</p>	N/A
16-May-03	To take the tenure form to the Instructional Deans and work in conjunction with them. (Loranz/Jimenez)	Motion Carries with one	N/A

		Abstention	
16-May-03	MOTION: Under the diversity component in the annual evaluation, to add commendable and excellent	Unanimous Approval	See Comments.
President's Comments	<p>Denied by Dr. Ringle: 6/6/03</p> <p>"While I would strongly support the inclusion of a 'diversity' component in the annual evaluation, I cannot accept the motion as passed for the following reasons: Addition of a 'Participate in diversity training' under PRIMARY JOB RESPONSIBILITIES. Participation is contingent on the offering of a training session. I don't think a 'primary job responsibility' should be contingent on the college offering a training session. (Attendance would more appropriately fit under professional growth criteria.) If the committee feels strongly about including a diversity component to 'primary responsibilities' the component should be one under the control of the faculty member i.e. – Evidence of inclusion of diversity content in course materials (lecture, reading, etc.)</p> <p>Similarly, the second motion would be stronger if E.19 read – Organize, develop <u>and</u> implement or present a major diversity activity.</p>		
16-May-03	MOTION: To approve the changing of Spring Break to align with UNR instead of WCSO beginning 2003-2004 and approving the Academic calendars for 2003-2004, 2004-2005, 2005-2006	Motion Carries with one opposition	Approved by Dr. Laguerre and Dr. Ringle 5/31/03 and 6/2/03
16-May-03	MOTION: To accept the AA Philosophy presented by Rena Denham.	Motion Carries	To Dr. Ringle 5/03
16-May-03	To recommend the two "Outstanding Faculty Awards" as presented by Dr. Chang. See attachment 5/16 minutes) VOTE: Motion Carries with on opposition.	Motion Carries with on opposition.	Approved by Dr. Laguerre and Dr. Ringle 5/30/03 and 6/2/03 respectively